## 2007 Gold Coast Senior's Pairs

The Gold Coast Congress has at last moved to the Convention Centre at Broadbeach, which can comfortably house everyone in the same room. The venue was deemed by all to be a great success, with very little downside, and I am sure that it will continue to grow. Broadbeach has dozens of quality restaurants and shops, as well as a wide range of accommodation, both budget and up-market.

After a poor result in 2005, and a moderate result in 2006, John Brockwell and I finally made a good showing when we held on to $2^{\text {nd }}$ place in 2007. The signs were good when we went to dinner after the first qualifying convinced that we had a lot of work to do in the evening session, and returned to the happy news that everyone else also found the going tough and we actually finished $6^{\text {th }}$ with $55.5 \%$.

The first round of the final was fairly even, with $61 \%$ for second, and only just a little worse in the second round to hold on to second. That is when the results started to get a little rocky, although with our closest competitors also wobbling, we were still first or second the whole way.

The crunch came with 4 rounds to go when we met the leaders. While we did not seem to do a great deal wrong, they did not either, and when results went their way they finished up with 55 out of the 78 MPs , and we could not catch them.



Board 12 in the second qualifying round would have been a routine 3NT if played by me as East, but John showed his near opening by jumping to 2NT after the overcall, and with my source of tricks in diamonds, and the spade help, I pushed to game.
All looked lost when North led a club instead of the expected spade, but he had too many, and luckily John had the C9, which stopped North from overtaking the CK.
South exited with a heart, won in hand by John to lead a spade, and by the time he won the HQ on the table and cashed the spade tricks, it looked to him like South had 4 diamonds and that the contract was therefore going to fail.
His assessment of South's distribution was correct, but luckily the DJ was singleton, so he made 10 tricks after all. If I was declarer, the likely spade lead from South would likely have led to 11 tricks unless South was physic.

| F 1 | AK65 |  | Board 2 in the first round of the finals was another of the solid suits that seemed to follows us around. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 2 |  |  |  |
| DIr E | AKT862 |  | The whole range of opening bids were used around the room, but our |
| Vul NS | T9 | T7 | system did not permit a gambling 3NT opening, so I opened a simple |
| QT876 | K5 |  | North doubled, I rebid my club suit to keep South out, and North rebid 3D, presumably showing a good hand. |
| Q93 |  | AKOJ8763 |  |
|  | QJ4 |  | Once more to the well, I was in action again with 4 C , and this time I won the auction, but not the contract as I had to go 1 off for -50 . |
|  | J9432 |  |  |
|  | J75 |  | North showed a good hand by doubling and bidding 3D over my 3C, and obviously figured that was enough, but it seems wrong to sell out |
|  | 42 |  |  |
| W | N E | S | to 4C with such a good hand, and it is likely that 4D would have |
|  | 1 C | , | earned a raise from South. |
| / | X 3C | 1 | 4 C for 1 off was a near top. |
| 1 | 3D 4C | // |  |


| F 1 | AJ4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 7 | Q63 |  |  |
| Dlr S | 9542 |  |  |
| Vul All | J62 |  |  |
| 98652 |  |  | 73 |
| JT98 |  |  | K72 |
| AJ3 |  |  | QT86 |
| 5 |  |  | KQT7 |
|  | KQT |  |  |
|  | A54 |  |  |
|  | K7 |  |  |
|  | A9843 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  |  |  | 1C |
| 1 | 1NT | / | 3NT |
| // |  |  |  |

On board 7 in the first final NS stretched their meagre values and reached 3NT unopposed. Since 1C was not natural, I started off with the CK, and quickly realized my mistake when North ducked.
The switch was not obvious from my cards and dummy, but I needed less from John for diamonds, so I next led the D6. Declarer flew up with the DK, won by John who then led the HJ, ducked all around.
The HT was ducked all around and the third heart was won in dummy, followed by a spade to hand and the CJ covered and won on the table.
I won the next club and cashed the DQ to take the contract 2 off for the magic +200 , but when I exited with a diamond, John won the DJ and H 9 for +400 .
I bemoaned that fact that I could have exited with the DJ for John to cash his 2 tricks and the diamond return would have netted +500 , but +400 should have been a good score. However we must have needed the extra trick as +400 was only worth 22/28.


On board 17 in the first final our Acol system worked for us as John was able to open 1 H and rebid 2 D over my 2 C response to show a real suit.
I was now able to take over and after finding out we were not missing 2 Key Cards, I bid the good slam, with the prospect of making all 13 if the trump finesse works.
It looked like 13 tricks were there when West playfully ducked the first diamond, but 12 was the limit after all and +920 was above average.
Pairs playing a 5-card major system were caught in no-mans land by the 1D opening as South often had no way of knowing that North had a real diamond suit, and 6NT has little or no chance of 12 tricks.
Any North that treated the hearts as a 5-card suit would have fared well, as 12 tricks in hearts are easy by ruffing a spade.

| F 1 | KJT843 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 25 | 76 |  |  |
| Dlr N | 4 |  |  |
| Vul EW | J743 |  |  |
| A97652 | Q |  |  |
| K |  |  | QJ8542 |
| K6 |  |  | JT |
| 8652 |  |  | AQT9 |
|  | - |  |  |
|  | AT93AQ987532 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 2D | 2 H | 2NT |
| / | 3S | / | 5D |
| // |  |  |  |


| F 2 | 6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 9 |  |  |  |
| Dir N |  |  |  |
| Vul EW | A |  |  |
| K943 |  |  | AT7 |
| Q83 |  |  | K5 |
| J86 |  |  | K432 |
| J72 |  |  | T543 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | T6 |  |  |
|  | Q5 |  |  |
|  | K9 |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
|  | 1H | 1 | 1S |
| 1 | 2D | 1 | 2 H |
| / | 3 H | 1 | 4 H |
| // |  |  |  |

Board 25 in the first final was very dependent on the position of the dealer, as both West and North had a weak 2 S opening, and East has a 2 H opening.
North is the dealer and opened with a 2D multi and I thought that the chances were good that the suit was not hearts, so bid the obvious 2 H to try and show that I had a 6-card suit of my own. My reasoning was that it would be too dangerous to come in before dealer had announced their suit with only a 5-card suit.
South asked with 2NT, and John breathed a sigh of relief because looking at his hand his first thought was that I had stumbled into declarer's 6-card suit. Without South's intervention he had probably planned to bid his own 6-card suit, but imagine his surprize when North rebid 3S!
South now signed off in 5D, an unremarkable contract that had no chance, and should have been held to only 8 tricks but still gained above average.

Board 9 in the second final was difficult to judge from John's perspective, as any spade values in my hand other than the SA were not pulling their full weight.
In our version of Acol his 2D rebid does not promise 5 hearts, so my 2 H may have been false preference, but his 3 H invitation definitely showed a 5-card suit so if I was accepting I could bid 3NT with only 2 hearts.
John won the first club in dummy and led the H4, finessing the H9 and losing the East's HK, who now cashed the SA and led another, enabling John to set up the SJ in dummy for a useless discard. He next led the D7, winning in dummy when East ducked, and came back to hand to ruff a diamond.
It was now possible to make 11 tricks by discarding a diamond on the SJ before taking the heart finesse again. However, to add credence to East's defence, if the SJ was ruffed by East, 11 tricks may have turned into only 9 , so he finessed in hearts for just 10 tricks and an equal top.

| F 2 | AT8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 17 | JT |  |  |
| Dir N | K65 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | KQJ32 |  |  |
| QJ | 963 |  |  |
| K98 |  |  | Q76432 |
| QJ94 |  |  | 872 |
| A876 |  |  | 9 |
|  | K7542 |  |  |
|  | A5 |  |  |
|  | AT3 |  |  |
|  | T54 |  |  |
| w | N | E | S |
|  | 1NT | , | 2 H |
| 2S | 3C | 1 | 3NT |
| // |  |  |  |

Board 17 in the second final was a hand that John could have easily gotten wrong due to confusion on the part of our opponents and a failure to alert as a result of the confusion.
My 2 H bid was obviously transfer to spades, and West meant his 2S to be general take-out, but it could have been anything except natural. This was the only thing East could be sure of as his 3, John's minimum of 2 and my presumed 5 did not leave many spades for West.
Obviously John should have elected to play in 4S, where 11 tricks can be won on any lead, but chose to play in 3NT, which he would have regretted if East had led $4^{\text {th }}$ highest of his longest and strongest!
On the actual diamond lead John wended his way through the spades to finish up with 12 tricks for an equal top. The heart pips in the West hand allow 10 tricks to be set up in NT if South is declarer, but a heart lead by East leaves EW in control and 8 tricks is the limit.

| F 2 | A5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 24 | AQ7542 |  |  |
| DIr W | 852 |  |  |
| Vul Nil | JT |  |  |
| Q943 | 87 |  |  |
| T3 |  |  | J96 |
| AJ7 |  |  | KQT9 |
| K832 |  |  | A964 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | K8 |  |  |
|  | 64 |  |  |
|  | Q |  |  |
| W | N | E | S |
| 1 | 1H | / | 1S |
| 1 | 2 H | / |  |


| F 3 | T653 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bd 10 | 64 |  |  |
| DIr E | Q32 |  |  |
| Vul All | 8742 |  |  |
| 97 |  |  | KQ82 |
| KQ973 |  |  | AJT |
| 5 |  |  | AKJ76 |
| AKJ63 |  |  | T |
|  | AJ4 |  |  |
|  | 852 |  |  |
|  | T984 |  |  |
|  | Q95 |  |  |
| w | N | E | S |
|  |  | 1D | 1 |
| 1H | / | 1S | 1 |
| 2C | 1 | 2H | , |
| 4H | 1 | 4NT | 1 |
| 5S | / | 6 H | // |

Board 24 in the second final looked like a routine hand with NS able to make a part-score in the majors, and EW in the minors.
However we were outgunned and did not enter the auction allowing North to subside in 2H, to which I led the DK.
John and I went through the motions of cashing our diamond tricks without giving declarer any vital spade information, so he lost the obvious 5 tricks for -110.
This was worth an average score, but looking at all 4 hands, we should have defeated 2 H and earned a good score!
It is obvious from the low level of the bidding that both John and I have some points, and the opening lead indicated the diamond fit. At this stage we should analyze that any spade tricks are unlikely to go away so we should turn our attention to clubs. If I switch to a club at trick 2, we can cash 2 clubs and 3 diamonds finishing in my hand and then the $4^{\text {th }}$ diamond, ruffed with the HT , promotes the setting trick for my HJ.

Board 10 in the third final was a routine 6 H , but we got below average on what was actually the crucial last set of the competition for only making 12 tricks.
2 C was $4^{\text {th }}$ suit, and my 2 H rebid probably showed club shortage, so John was less enthusiastic about slam prospects and signed off in game. I was not done however, and after finding out we were missing only 1 Key Card, 6H it was, and North led the C7.
Taking the diamond finesse can now make 13 tricks, and I believe that some pairs did this, but John wisely was not prepared to go down in a cold slam by taking a $50 \%$ finesse, so he gave up a spade and finished up with a normal +1430 .
However, other pairs in the final 28 also decided to settle for 12 tricks and led a spade to dummy, but South ducked at least twice, and thus 13 tricks rolled home. Pairs is a hard game.



On board 16 in the third final I felt hard-done by when West bid 2 S over my 1NT overcall, but led a heart, and turned out to have 5 of them also for 1 off. -50 was almost a bottom.
The 1D opening did not show any more than 3 diamonds, so I did not think I needed a diamond stop, but if I had doubled, West would still have bid 1S, and now John would have bid 1NT, raised to game by me and easy on a spade lead.
The H3 lead did not look threatening, but when West ducked the heart return and played the H 2 , I knew I was in trouble and should have looked for another way to make the contract.
The contact can be made after West ducks the HQ at trick 2 by leading the HJ and if West runs the hearts he subjects East to a suicide squeeze. After 5 hearts and 2 spades finishing in dummy, dummy has AKT9, 83 in the minors and I have Jx, AKJ6 and East cannot keep control in both minors.

| F 3 | KJ9542 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bd 17 | 87 |  |
| DIr N | Q |  |
| Vul Nil | KT86 |  |
| AQ8 |  | 63 |
| QT4 |  | J9652 |
| K72 |  | J54 |
| Q542 |  | AJ7 |
|  | T7 |  |
|  | AK3 |  |
|  | AT9863 |  |
|  | 93 |  |
| W | N | E |
|  | 2D | I |
| X | I/ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

On board 17 in the third final I had a brain implosion when I passed John's 2D opening to play in his unknown support for my 6-card suit instead of our certain 6-2 or even 6-3 fit in the majors.
West came to my rescue when he doubled, but reason has still temporarily left me and I passed again.
On the actual layout, after a heart lead 2DX is not without its chances, but John, probably in shock at my peculiar bidding, did not get the most out of the hand by ruffing the $3^{\text {rd }}$ heart and exiting with a spade to dummy.
When he also miss-guessed the diamonds, he finished 2 off for -300 and our only bottom of the final rounds.
Obviously since 2 S is cold, probably making 3 , even 1 off is a bad score, but if he wins the hearts and leads a spade, West needs to win this and shift to a club so East can lead a trump, otherwise 2DX will make.


